UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

DANI	EL F	REIFF.
17/11/11	LLL I	A

Case No. 23-cv-10513-LJM-JJCG Hon, Laurie J. Michelson

Plaintiff,

VS.

OFFICER BROC SETTY, in his individual capacity,

Defendant.

VERDICT FORM

We the jury, after due deliberation and consideration of all of the evidence, unanimously reach the following verdict:

Question 1: Did Defendant Clinton Township Police Officer Broc Setty violate Plaintiff Daniel Reiff's Fourth Amendment rights by using excessive force against him?



NO

If you answered "yes" to Question 1, answer question 2. If you answered "no" to Question 1, you need not answer any further questions.

Question 2: Did Plaintiff Daniel Reiff sustain any damages as a result of that excessive force?

YES_X

NO____

If you answered "yes" to Question 2, answer question 3. If you answered "no" to Question 2, you need not answer any further questions.

Question 3: of those dan	nages?	force the proximate cause and cause in fact of any
	YES_X	NO
-	y = =	tion 3, answer question 4. If you answered "no" swer any further questions.
Question 4: sustained?		of compensatory damages that Plaintiff Daniel Reiff
	s <u>4,000</u> ,	000
-	_	damages, proceed to question number 5. If you Question 4, you need not answer any further
	- "	hip Police Officer Setty act with an evil motive or ous indifference to the Plaintiff's federally protected
	YES_X	NO
-	•	tion 5, answer question 6. If you answered "no" swer any further questions.
		unitive damages to Plaintiff Daniel Reiff against lice Officer Broc Setty?
	YES_X	NO
	If yes, in what amou	NO ant? \$ 50,000
	and date this verdict	forn
Dated: 12	15/2025	s/Foreperson
City of Detr	oit, Michigan	In Compliance with the Privacy Policy adopted by the Judicial Conference, the verdict form with the original signature has been filed

under seal